



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,161	08/08/2005	Robert Giehrl	30051/41004	6490
4743	7590	05/19/2009	EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP			STEVENS, THOMAS H	
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE				
6300 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/526,161	GIEHRL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	THOMAS H. STEVENS	2121	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) THOMAS H. STEVENS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jeremy Protas. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Giugnet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Both parties are in agreement that the reference of Giugnet does not teach the limitations of claim 1. Furthermore, the Examiner has a better understanding of the invention by which the data of figure 4 for example is reflected in element 62 in figure 6.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas H. Stevens/ Examiner, Art Unit 2121	/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121
--	---